

SUPPLEMENT ARTICLE: SHEA/IDSA PRACTICE RECOMMENDATION

Strategies to Prevent Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Acute Care Hospitals

Susan E. Coffin, MD, MPH; Michael Klompas, MD; David Classen, MD, MS; Kathleen M. Arias, MS, CIC; Kelly Podgorny, RN, MS, CPHQ; Deverick J. Anderson, MD, MPH; Helen Burstin, MD; David P. Calfee, MD, MS; Erik R. Dubberke, MD; Victoria Fraser, MD; Dale N. Gerding, MD; Frances A. Griffin, RRT, MPA; Peter Gross, MD; Keith S. Kaye, MD; Evelyn Lo, MD; Jonas Marschall, MD; Leonard A. Mermel, DO, ScM; Lindsay Nicolle, MD; David A. Pegues, MD; Trish M. Perl, MD; Sanjay Saint, MD; Cassandra D. Salgado, MD, MS; Robert A. Weinstein, MD; Robert Wise, MD; Deborah S. Yokoe, MD, MPH

PURPOSE

Previously published guidelines are available that provide comprehensive recommendations for detecting and preventing healthcare-associated infections. The intent of this document is to highlight practical recommendations in a concise format designed to assist acute care hospitals in implementing and prioritizing their ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) prevention efforts. Refer to the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America/Infectious Diseases Society of America "Compendium of Strategies to Prevent Healthcare-Associated Infections" Executive Summary and Introduction and accompanying editorial for additional discussion.

SECTION 1: RATIONALE AND STATEMENTS OF CONCERN

1. Occurrence of VAP in acute care facilities.
 - a. VAP is one of the most common infections acquired by adults and children in intensive care units (ICUs).^{1,2}
 - i. In early studies, it was reported that 10%-20% of patients undergoing ventilation developed VAP.^{3,4} More-recent publications report rates of VAP that range from 1 to 4 cases per 1,000 ventilator-days, but rates may exceed 10 cases per 1,000 ventilator-days in some neo-

natal and surgical patient populations.⁵⁻⁹ The results of recent quality improvement initiatives, however, suggest that many cases of VAP might be prevented by careful attention to the process of care.

2. Outcomes associated with VAP
 - a. VAP is a cause of significant patient morbidity and mortality, increased utilization of healthcare resources, and excess cost.¹⁰⁻¹³
 - i. The mortality attributable to VAP may exceed 10%.¹⁴⁻²²
 - ii. Patients with VAP require prolonged periods of mechanical ventilation,²³ extended hospitalizations,^{4,11,16} excess use of antimicrobial medications, and increased direct medical costs.^{11,13,14}
3. Pathogenesis of and risk factors for VAP
 - a. VAP arises when there is bacterial invasion of the pulmonary parenchyma in a patient receiving mechanical ventilation.
 - i. Inoculation of the formerly sterile lower respiratory tract typically arises from aspiration of secretions, colonization of the aerodigestive tract, or use of contaminated equipment or medications.²⁴

From the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (S.E.C.); the Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston (M.K., D.S.Y.), and the Institute for Healthcare Improvement, Cambridge (F.A.G.), Massachusetts; the University of Utah, Salt Lake City (D.C.); the Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology (K.M.A.) and the National Quality Forum (H.B.), Washington, D.C.; the Loyola University Chicago Stritch School of Medicine (D.N.G.), the Stroger (Cook County) Hospital and the Rush University Medical Center (R.A.W.), Chicago, the Joint Commission, Oakbrook Terrace (K.P., R.W.), and the Hines Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Hines (D.N.G.), Illinois; the Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina (D.J.A., K.S.K.); the Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York (D.P.C.); the Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri (E.R.D., V.F., J.M.); the Hackensack University Medical Center, Hackensack (P.G.) and the University of Medicine and Dentistry—New Jersey Medical School, Newark (P.G.), New Jersey; the Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University and Rhode Island Hospital, Providence, Rhode Island (L.A.M.); the David Geffen School of Medicine at the University of California, Los Angeles (D.A.P.); the Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions and University, Baltimore, Maryland (T.M.P.); the Ann Arbor Veterans Affairs Medical Center and the University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan (S.S.); the Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston (C.D.S.); and the University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada (E.L., L.N.).

Accepted June 4, 2008; electronically published September 16, 2008.

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008; 29:S31–S40

© 2008 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2008/2910S1-0005\$15.00. DOI: 10.1086/591062

ii. Risk factors for VAP include prolonged intubation,²⁵ enteral feeding,²⁶ witnessed aspiration,²⁷ paralytic agents,²⁷ underlying illness,^{7,11,27,28} and extremes of age.²⁸

SECTION 2: STRATEGIES TO DETECT VAP

1. Surveillance definition

a. The definition of VAP is perhaps the most subjective of the common device-related healthcare-associated infections.²⁹⁻³² Most hospital epidemiologists and infection prevention and control professionals use the VAP definition put forth by the National Healthcare Safety Network, which uses 3 groups of criteria: clinical, radiographic, and microbiological.³³

i. Despite the use of a common definition, significant interobserver variability has been noted.³⁴⁻³⁶

ii. Factors such as the surveillance strategy, diagnostic techniques, and microbiology and laboratory procedures likely account for some of the differences in VAP rates between different institutions.²⁹

2. Methods for surveillance of VAP

a. Active surveillance is required to accurately identify patients with VAP.^{22,37} Case finding by review of administrative data alone, such as discharge diagnosis codes, is inaccurate and lacks both sensitivity and specificity.^{38,39}

i. Case finding of VAP is complex as a result of clinical criteria that vary with age and other host factors.

ii. The need for review of 2 or more chest radiographs for patients with underlying pulmonary or cardiac disease also contributes to the difficulties in identifying patients with VAP.

iii. Gram staining and semiquantitative culture of endotracheal secretions or quantitative culture of specimens obtained through bronchoalveolar lavage should be performed for a patient suspected to have VAP. The question of which method is optimal for specimen collection of lower respiratory tract secretions for diagnosis of VAP is controversial.^{22,37,40-42}

iv. Information technology, such as electronic surveillance tools, can assist in the identification of patients with possible VAP but cannot provide definitive identification and are not yet widely available.^{43,44}

SECTION 3: STRATEGIES TO PREVENT VAP

1. Existing guidelines and recommendations

a. Guidelines to prevent VAP have been published by several expert groups and, when fully implemented, improve patient outcomes and are cost-effective.⁴⁵⁻⁵¹

b. Because few studies have evaluated the prevention of VAP in children, the majority of these recommendations stem from studies that were performed in adults. The core recommendations are designed to interrupt the 3 most common mechanisms by which VAP develops:

i. Aspiration of secretions

ii. Colonization of the aerodigestive tract

iii. Use of contaminated equipment

2. General strategies that have been found to influence the risk of VAP

a. General strategies

i. Conduct active surveillance for VAP.^{52,53}

ii. Adhere to hand-hygiene guidelines published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the World Health Organization.^{52,53}

iii. Use noninvasive ventilation whenever possible.⁵⁴⁻⁶¹

iv. Minimize the duration of ventilation.^{53,62,63}

v. Perform daily assessments of readiness to wean^{5,50} and use weaning protocols.^{57,62,64-69}

vi. Educate healthcare personnel who care for patients undergoing ventilation about VAP.^{52,53,70,71}

b. Strategies to prevent aspiration

i. Maintain patients in a semirecumbent position (30°-45° elevation of the head of the bed) unless there are contraindications.^{28,50,52,53,57,65,72-76}

(a) Experimental trials have demonstrated that backrest elevation is associated with a reduced risk of pulmonary aspiration.^{72,75}

(b) Multivariable analysis of risk factors associated with VAP found up to a 67% reduction in VAP among patients maintained in semirecumbency during the first 24 hours of mechanical ventilation.²⁸

(c) The impact of semirecumbency was confirmed in an observational study⁵⁰ and a randomized trial.⁷³

(d) However, recent studies indicate that semirecumbent positioning is rarely maintained⁷⁷ and may not be associated with a reduced rate of tracheal colonization⁷⁷ or VAP.⁷⁸

ii. Avoid gastric overdistention.^{26,57,79,80}

iii. Avoid unplanned extubation and reintubation.^{7,25,52,53}

iv. Use a cuffed endotracheal tube with in-line or subglottic suctioning.^{52,57,81-86}

(a) Meta-analysis demonstrated that subglottic secretion drainage was effective in preventing early-onset VAP.⁸⁵

v. Maintain an endotracheal cuff pressure of at least 20 cm H₂O.⁸⁷

c. Strategies to reduce colonization of the aerodigestive tract

i. Orotracheal intubation is preferable to nasotracheal intubation.

(a) Nasotracheal intubation increases the risk of sinusitis,^{88,89} which may increase the risk for VAP.^{90,91}

ii. Avoid histamine receptor 2 (H₂)-blocking agents and proton pump inhibitors for patients who are not at high risk for developing a stress ulcer or stress gastritis.^{53,57,76,92}

(a) Acid-suppressive therapy may increase the col-

onization density of the aerodigestive tract with potentially pathogenic organisms.

(b) Seven meta-analyses have yielded inconsistent results regarding the magnitude of risk associated with the colonization of the aerodigestive tract.⁹³⁻⁹⁸ Health-care Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee Guidelines identified the preferential use of sucralfate or H2-blocking agents as an unresolved issue.⁵²

(c) A single retrospective study of children undergoing ventilation found that the rate of VAP did not vary according to the strategy used to prevent gastrointestinal bleeding.⁹⁹

iii. Perform regular oral care^{57,100-103} with an antiseptic solution.^{101,104-108} The optimal frequency for oral care is unresolved.

d. Strategies to minimize contamination of equipment used to care for patients receiving mechanical ventilation

i. Use sterile water to rinse reusable respiratory equipment.⁵²

ii. Remove condensate from ventilatory circuits. Keep the ventilatory circuit closed during condensate removal.^{52,53,57,109}

iii. Change the ventilatory circuit only when visibly soiled or malfunctioning.^{21,52,110-114}

iv. Store and disinfect respiratory therapy equipment properly.⁵² (See the Appendix.)

SECTION 4: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTING PREVENTION AND MONITORING STRATEGIES

Recommendations for preventing and monitoring VAP are summarized in the following section. They are designed to assist acute care hospitals in prioritizing and implementing their VAP prevention efforts. Criteria for grading the strength of recommendation and quality of evidence are described in the Table.

I. Basic practices for prevention and monitoring of VAP: recommended for all acute care hospitals

A. Education

1. Educate healthcare personnel who care for patients undergoing ventilation about VAP, including information about the following (A-II):

- a. Local epidemiology
- b. Risk factors
- c. Patient outcomes

2. Educate clinicians who care for patients undergoing ventilation about noninvasive ventilatory strategies (B-III).

B. Surveillance of VAP

1. Perform direct observation of compliance with VAP-specific process measures (B-III).

- a. VAP-specific process measures include hand hygiene, bed position, daily sedation interruption and assessment of readiness to wean, and regular oral care.
- b. Use structured observation tools at regularly scheduled intervals.

2. Conduct active surveillance for VAP and associated process measures in units that care for patients undergoing ventilation who are known or suspected to be at high risk for VAP on the basis of risk assessment (A-II).

- a. Collect data that will support the identification of patients with VAP and calculation of VAP rates (ie, the number of VAP cases and number of ventilator-days for all patients who are undergoing ventilation and in the population being monitored).

C. Practice

1. Implement policies and practices for disinfection, ster-

TABLE. Strength of Recommendation and Quality of Evidence

| Category/grade | Definition |
|----------------------------|--|
| Strength of recommendation | |
| A | Good evidence to support a recommendation for use |
| B | Moderate evidence to support a recommendation for use |
| C | Poor evidence to support a recommendation |
| Quality of evidence | |
| I | Evidence from ≥ 1 properly randomized, controlled trial |
| II | Evidence from ≥ 1 well-designed clinical trial, without randomization; from cohort or case-control analytic studies (preferably from >1 center); from multiple time series; or from dramatic results from uncontrolled experiments |
| III | Evidence from opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees |

NOTE. Adapted from the Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination.¹¹⁵

ilization, and maintenance of respiratory equipment that are aligned with evidence-based standards (eg, guidelines from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and professional organizations) (A-II).⁵²

a. See the Appendix for a list of recommended practices.

2. Ensure that all patients (except those with medical contraindications) are maintained in a semirecumbent position (B-II).

3. Perform regular antiseptic oral care in accordance with product guidelines (A-I).

4. Provide easy access to noninvasive ventilation equipment and institute protocols to promote the use of noninvasive ventilation (B-III).

D. Accountability

1. The hospital's chief executive officer and senior management are responsible for ensuring that the healthcare system supports an infection prevention and control program to effectively prevent VAP.

2. Senior management is accountable for ensuring that an adequate number of trained personnel are assigned to the infection prevention and control program.

3. Senior management is accountable for ensuring that healthcare personnel, including licensed and nonlicensed personnel, are competent to perform their job responsibilities.

4. Direct healthcare providers (such as physicians, nurses, aides, and therapists) and ancillary personnel (such as housekeeping and equipment-processing personnel) are responsible for ensuring that appropriate infection prevention and control practices are used at all times (including hand hygiene, standard and isolation precautions, cleaning and disinfection of equipment and the environment, aseptic techniques when suctioning secretions and handling respiratory therapy equipment, patient positioning, sedation and weaning protocols, and oral care).

5. Hospital and unit leaders are responsible for holding their personnel accountable for their actions.

6. The person who manages the infection prevention and control program is responsible for ensuring that an active program to identify VAP is implemented, that data on VAP are analyzed and regularly provided to those who can use the information to improve the quality of care (eg, unit staff, clinicians, and hospital administrators), and that evidence-based practices are incorporated into the program.

7. Personnel responsible for healthcare personnel and pa-

tient education are accountable for ensuring that appropriate training and educational programs to prevent VAP are developed and provided to personnel, patients, and families.

8. Personnel from the infection prevention and control program, the laboratory, and information technology departments are responsible for ensuring that systems are in place to support the surveillance program.

II. Special approaches for the prevention of VAP

Perform a VAP risk assessment. These special approaches are recommended for use in locations and/or populations within the hospital that have unacceptably high VAP rates despite implementation of the basic VAP prevention procedures listed above.

1. Use an endotracheal tube with in-line and subglottic suctioning for all eligible patients (B-II).

2. Ensure that all ICU beds used for patients undergoing ventilation have a built-in tool to provide continuous monitoring of the angle of incline (B-III).

III. Approaches that should not be considered a routine part of VAP prevention

1. Do not routinely administer intravenous immunoglobulin,⁵² white-cell-stimulating factors (filgrastim or sargramostim),⁵² enteral glutamine,⁵² or chest physiotherapy^{52,116} (A-III).

2. Do not routinely use rotational therapy with kinetic or continuous lateral rotational therapy beds (B-II).^{52,117}

3. Do not routinely administer prophylactic aerosolized or systemic antimicrobials (B-III).^{2,52,118}

IV. Unresolved issues

1. Avoidance of H2 antagonist or proton pump inhibitors for patients who are not at high risk for developing gastrointestinal bleeding^{76,93,94,98,119-122}

2. Selective digestive tract decontamination for all patients undergoing ventilation¹²³⁻¹²⁸

3. Use of antiseptic-impregnated endotracheal tubes^{129,130}

4. Intensive glycemic control¹³¹⁻¹³⁴

SECTION 5: PERFORMANCE MEASURES

I. Internal reporting

These performance measures are intended to support internal hospital quality improvement efforts and do not necessarily address external reporting needs.

The process and outcome measures suggested here are derived from published guidelines, other relevant literature, and the opinions of the authors. Report both process and outcome measures to senior hospital leadership, nursing leadership, and clinicians who care for patients at risk for VAP.

A. Process measures

1. Compliance with hand-hygiene guidelines for all clinicians who deliver care to patients undergoing ventilation

a. Collect data on a sample of healthcare personnel from all disciplines who provide hands-on care to patients undergoing ventilation, including physicians, nurses, respiratory therapists, and radiology technicians. Perform observations at regular intervals (eg, 1 set of measurements per week). The frequency of observations can be adjusted on the basis of compliance rates (eg, as compliance improves, less frequent observations may be needed).

b. Preferred measure for hand-hygiene compliance

i. Numerator: number of observed appropriate hand-hygiene episodes performed by healthcare personnel.

ii. Denominator: number of observed opportunities for hand hygiene.

iii. Multiply by 100 so that the measure is expressed as a percentage.

2. Compliance with daily sedation interruption and assessment of readiness to wean

a. Assessment should be performed by chart review of a sample of all patients currently undergoing ventilation. Evidence of daily documentation on the patient's chart, bedside paperwork, or electronic medical record of a sedation interruption and assessment of readiness to wean should be present unless clinically contraindicated. Perform assessments at regular intervals (eg, 1 set of measurements per week). The frequency of observations can be adjusted on the basis of compliance rates (eg, as compliance improves, less frequent observations may be needed).

b. Preferred measure of compliance with sedation interruption and assessment of readiness to wean

i. Numerator: number of patients undergoing ventilation with daily documentation of consideration of sedation interruption and assessment of readiness to wean or contraindication.

ii. Denominator: number of patients undergoing ventilation.

iii. Multiply by 100 so that the measure is expressed as a percentage.

3. Compliance with regular antiseptic oral care

a. Assessment should be performed by chart review of a sample of all patients currently undergoing ventilation. Perform assessments at regular intervals (eg, 1 set of measurements per week). The frequency of observations can

be adjusted on the basis of compliance rates (eg, as compliance improves, less frequent observations may be needed).

b. Preferred measure of assessment of compliance with antiseptic oral care

i. Numerator: number of patients undergoing ventilation with daily documentation of regular oral care according to product instructions.

ii. Denominator: number of patients undergoing ventilation.

iii. Multiply by 100 so that the measure is expressed as a percentage.

4. Compliance with semirecumbent positioning for all eligible patients

a. Assessment should be performed for all patients currently undergoing ventilation, by direct observation of the position of the head of bed. Perform assessments at regular intervals (eg, 1 set of measurements per week). The frequency of observations can be adjusted on the basis of compliance rates (eg, as compliance improves, less frequent observations may be needed).

b. Preferred measure of assessment of semirecumbent positioning compliance

i. Numerator: number of patients undergoing ventilation who are in a semirecumbent position (30°-45° elevation of the head of the bed) at the time of observation.

ii. Denominator: number of patients undergoing ventilation who are eligible to be in a semirecumbent position.

iii. Multiply by 100 so that the measure is expressed as a percentage.

B. Outcome measures

Perform ongoing surveillance of the incidence density of VAP on units that care for patients undergoing ventilation who are known or suspected to be at high risk for VAP, to permit longitudinal assessment of process of care.

1. Incidence density of VAP, reported as the number of episodes of VAP per 1,000 ventilator-days.

a. Preferred measure of VAP incidence density

i. Numerator: number of patients undergoing mechanical ventilation who have VAP, defined using National Healthcare Safety Network definitions.

ii. Denominator: number of ventilator-days.

iii. Multiply by 1,000 so that the measure is expressed as cases per 1,000 ventilator-days.

II. External reporting

There are many challenges in providing useful information to consumers and other stakeholders while preventing unintended adverse consequences of public reporting of health-care-associated infections.¹³⁵ Recommendations for public re-

porting of healthcare-associated infections have been provided by the Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee,¹³⁶ the Healthcare-Associated Infection Working Group of the Joint Public Policy Committee,¹³⁷ and the National Quality Forum.¹³⁸

Because of the difficulties in diagnosing VAP,³⁰ the validity of comparing VAP rates between facilities is poor, and external reporting of rates of VAP is not recommended.²⁹

A. State and federal requirements

1. Hospitals in states that have mandatory reporting requirements for VAP must collect and report the data required by the state.

2. For information on local requirements, check with your state or local health department.

B. External quality initiatives

1. Hospitals that participate in external quality initiatives or state programs must collect and report the data required by the initiative or the program.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

For Potential Conflicts of Interest statements and information on financial support, please see the Acknowledgments in the Executive Summary, on page S20 of this supplement.

APPENDIX

STERILIZATION, DISINFECTION, AND MAINTENANCE OF RESPIRATORY EQUIPMENT, BASED ON HEALTHCARE INFECTION CONTROL PRACTICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee⁵² system for categorization of recommendations is as follows:

Category IA: Strongly recommended for implementation and strongly supported by well-designed experimental, clinical, or epidemiologic studies.

Category IB: Strongly recommended for implementation and supported by some experimental, clinical, or epidemiologic studies and a strong theoretical rationale.

Category IC: Required for implementation, as mandated by federal or state regulation or standard.

Category II: Suggested for implementation and supported by suggestive clinical or epidemiological studies or a theoretical rationale.

1. General measures

a. Thoroughly clean all respiratory equipment to be sterilized or disinfected (category IA).

b. Whenever possible, use steam sterilization or high-level disinfection by wet heat pasteurization at temperatures higher than 70°C (158°F) for 30 minutes for reprocessing semicritical equipment or devices (ie, items that come into direct or indirect contact with mucous membranes of the lower respiratory tract). Use low-temperature sterilization methods (as approved by the Office of Device Evaluation, Center for Devices and Radiologic Health, US Food and Drug Administration) for equipment or devices that are heat or moisture sensitive. After disinfection, proceed with appropriate rinsing, drying, and packaging, taking care not to contaminate the disinfected items (category IA).

c. Preferentially use sterile water to rinse reusable semicritical respiratory equipment and devices when rinsing is needed after chemical disinfection. If this is not feasible, rinse the device with filtered water (ie, water that has been through a 0.2- μ m filter) or tap water, and then rinse with isopropyl alcohol and dry with forced air or in a drying cabinet (category IB).

d. Adhere to provisions in the US Food and Drug Administration's enforcement document for single-use devices that are reprocessed by third parties (category IC).

2. Mechanical ventilators

a. Do not routinely sterilize or disinfect the internal machinery of mechanical ventilators (category II).

3. Breathing circuits, humidifiers, and heat-moisture exchangers

a. Do not, on the basis of duration of use, routinely change the breathing circuit (ie, ventilator tubing and exhalation valve and the attached humidifier) that is in use by an individual patient. Change the circuit when it is visibly soiled or mechanically malfunctioning (category IA).

b. Periodically drain and discard any condensate that collects in the tubing of a mechanical ventilator, taking precautions not to allow condensate to drain toward the patient (category IB).

c. Wear gloves to perform the above procedure or handle the fluid (category IB).

d. Decontaminate hands with soap and water (if hands are visibly soiled) or with an alcohol-based hand rub, after performing the procedure or handling the fluid (category IA).

e. Use sterile (not distilled nonsterile) water to fill bubbling humidifiers (category II).

f. Change a heat-moisture exchanger that is in use by a patient when it malfunctions mechanically or becomes visibly soiled (category II).

g. Do not routinely change more frequently than every 48 hours a heat-moisture exchanger that is in use by a patient (category II).

Address reprint requests to the Reprints Coordinator, University of Chicago Press, 1427 E. 60th St., Chicago, IL 60637 (reprints@press.uchicago.edu) or contact the journal office (iche@press.uchicago.edu).

REFERENCES

- Richards MJ, Edwards JR, Culver DH, Gaynes RP. Nosocomial infections in pediatric intensive care units in the United States. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System. *Pediatrics* 1999; 103:e39.
- Richards MJ, Edwards JR, Culver DH, Gaynes RP. Nosocomial infections in combined medical-surgical intensive care units in the United States. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2000; 21:510-515.
- Ibrahim EH, Tracy L, Hill C, Fraser VJ, Kollef MH. The occurrence of ventilator-associated pneumonia in a community hospital: risk factors and clinical outcomes. *Chest* 2001; 120:555-561.
- Safdar N, Dezfulian C, Collard HR, Saint S. Clinical and economic consequences of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a systematic review. *Crit Care Med* 2005; 33:2184-2193.
- American Thoracic Society, Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 171:388-416.
- Stover BH, Shulman ST, Bratcher DF, Brady MT, Levine GL, Jarvis WR. Nosocomial infection rates in US children's hospitals' neonatal and pediatric intensive care units. *Am J Infect Control* 2001; 29:152-157.
- Elward AM, Warren DK, Fraser VJ. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in pediatric intensive care unit patients: risk factors and outcomes. *Pediatrics* 2002; 109:758-764.
- Apisarnthanarak A, Holzmann-Pazgal G, Hamvas A, Olsen MA, Fraser VJ. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in extremely preterm neonates in a neonatal intensive care unit: characteristics, risk factors, and outcomes. *Pediatrics* 2003; 112:1283-1289.
- Edwards JR, Peterson KD, Andrus ML, et al. National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) report, data summary for 2006, issued June 2007. *Am J Infect Control* 2007; 35:290-301.
- Chastre J, Fagon JY. Ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002; 165:867-903.
- Rello J, Ollendorf DA, Oster G, et al. Epidemiology and outcomes of ventilator-associated pneumonia in a large US database. *Chest* 2002; 122:2115-2121.
- Shorr AF, Kollef MH. Ventilator-associated pneumonia: insights from recent clinical trials. *Chest* 2005; 128:583S-591S.
- Shorr AF, Wunderink RG. Dollars and sense in the intensive care unit: the costs of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Crit Care Med* 2003; 31:1582-1583.
- Warren DK, Shukla SJ, Olsen MA, et al. Outcome and attributable cost of ventilator-associated pneumonia among intensive care unit patients in a suburban medical center. *Crit Care Med* 2003; 31:1312-1317.
- Crouch Brewer S, Wunderink RG, Jones CB, Leeper KV Jr. Ventilator-associated pneumonia due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Chest* 1996; 109:1019-1029.
- Fagon JY, Chastre J, Hance AJ, Montravers P, Novara A, Gibert C. Nosocomial pneumonia in ventilated patients: a cohort study evaluating attributable mortality and hospital stay. *Am J Med* 1993; 94:281-288.
- Kollef MH, Silver P, Murphy DM, Trovillion E. The effect of late-onset ventilator-associated pneumonia in determining patient mortality. *Chest* 1995; 108:1655-1662.
- Cordero L, Ayers LW, Miller RR, Seguin JH, Coley BD. Surveillance of ventilator-associated pneumonia in very-low-birth-weight infants. *Am J Infect Control* 2002; 30:32-39.
- Grossman RE, Fein A. Evidence-based assessment of diagnostic tests for ventilator-associated pneumonia. Executive summary. *Chest* 2000; 117:177S-181S.
- Papazian L, Bregeon F, Thirion X, et al. Effect of ventilator-associated pneumonia on mortality and morbidity. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996; 154:91-97.
- Kollef M. Prolonged use of ventilator circuits and ventilator-associated pneumonia: a model for identifying the optimal clinical practice. *Chest* 1998; 113:267-269.
- Luna C, Vujacich P, Niederman M, et al. Impact of BAL data on the therapy and outcome of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Chest* 1997; 111:676-685.
- Fischer JE, Allen P, Fanconi S. Delay of extubation in neonates and children after cardiac surgery: impact of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Intensive Care Med* 2000; 26:942-949.
- Safdar N, Crnich CJ, Maki DG. The pathogenesis of ventilator-associated pneumonia: its relevance to developing effective strategies for prevention. *Respir Care* 2005; 50:725-739; discussion 739-741.
- Torres A, Gatell JM, Aznar E, et al. Re-intubation increases the risk of nosocomial pneumonia in patients needing mechanical ventilation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; 152:137-141.
- Ibrahim E, Mehninger L, Prentice D, et al. Early versus late enteral feeding of mechanically ventilated patients: results of a clinical trial. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2002; 26:174-181.
- Cook DJ, Walter SD, Cook RJ, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill patients. *Ann Intern Med* 1998; 129:433-440.
- Kollef MH. Ventilator-associated pneumonia: a multivariate analysis. *JAMA* 1993; 270:1965-1970.
- Klompas M, Platt R. Ventilator-associated pneumonia—the wrong quality measure for benchmarking. *Ann Intern Med* 2007; 147:803-805.
- Klompas M. Does this patient have ventilator-associated pneumonia? *JAMA* 2007; 297:1583-1593.
- Torres A, Ewig S. Diagnosing ventilator-associated pneumonia. *N Engl J Med* 2004; 350:433-435.
- Baltimore RS. The difficulty of diagnosing ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Pediatrics* 2003; 112:1420-1421.
- National Healthcare Safety Network members page. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dhqp/nhsn_members.html. Accessed August 7, 2008.
- Cook D, Walter S, Freitag A, et al. Adjudicating ventilator-associated pneumonia in a randomized trial of critically ill patients. *J Crit Care* 1998; 13:159-163.
- Fagon JY, Chastre J, Hance AJ, Domart Y, Trouillet JL, Gibert C. Evaluation of clinical judgment in the identification and treatment of nosocomial pneumonia in ventilated patients. *Chest* 1993; 103:547-553.
- Schurink CA, Van Nieuwenhoven CA, Jacobs JA, et al. Clinical pulmonary infection score for ventilator-associated pneumonia: accuracy and inter-observer variability. *Intensive Care Med* 2004; 30:217-224.
- Shorr A, Sherner JH, Jackson WL, Kollef M. Invasive approaches to the diagnosis of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med* 2005; 33:46-53.
- Sherman ER, Heydon KH, St John KH, et al. Administrative data fail to accurately identify cases of healthcare-associated infection. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2006; 27:332-337.
- Julian KG, Brumbach AM, Chicora MK, et al. First year of mandatory reporting of healthcare-associated infections, Pennsylvania: an infection control-chart abstractor collaboration. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2006; 27:926-930.
- Fagon JY, Chastre J, Wolff M, et al. Invasive and noninvasive strategies for management of suspected ventilator-associated pneumonia: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2000; 132:621-630.
- Fabregas N, Ewig S, Torres A, et al. Clinical diagnosis of ventilator associated pneumonia revisited: comparative validation using immediate post-mortem lung biopsies. *Thorax* 1999; 54:867-873.
- Heyland D, Dodek P, Muscedere J, Day A. A randomized trial of diagnostic techniques for ventilator-associated pneumonia. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 355:2619-2630.
- Haas JB, Mendonca EA, Ross B, Friedman C, Larson E. Use of com-

- puterized surveillance to detect nosocomial pneumonia in neonatal intensive care unit patients. *Am J Infect Control* 2005; 33:439-443.
44. Klompas M, Kleinman K, Platt R. Development of an algorithm for surveillance of ventilator-associated pneumonia with electronic data and comparison of algorithm results with clinician diagnoses. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008; 29:31-37.
 45. Boyce JM, White RL, Spruill EY, Wall M. Cost-effective application of the Centers for Disease Control Guideline for Prevention of Nosocomial Pneumonia. *Am J Infect Control* 1985; 13:228-232.
 46. Gaynes RP, Solomon S. Improving hospital-acquired infection rates: the CDC experience. *Jt Comm J Qual Improv* 1996; 22:457-467.
 47. Goldmann DA, Weinstein RA, Wenzel RP, et al. Strategies to prevent and control the emergence and spread of antimicrobial-resistant microorganisms in hospitals: a challenge to hospital leadership. *JAMA* 1996; 275:234-240.
 48. Joiner GA, Salisbury D, Bollin GE. Utilizing quality assurance as a tool for reducing the risk of nosocomial ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Am J Med Qual* 1996; 11:100-103.
 49. Kelleghan SI. An effective continuous quality improvement approach to the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Am J Infect Control* 1993; 21:322-330.
 50. Resar R, Pronovost P, Haraden C, Simmonds T, Rainey T, Nolan T. Using a bundle approach to improve ventilator care processes and reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf* 2005; 31:243-248.
 51. Dodek P, Keenan S, Cook D, et al. Evidence-based clinical practice guideline for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Ann Intern Med* 2004; 141:305-313.
 52. Tablan OC, Anderson LJ, Besser R, Bridges C, Hajjeh R. Guidelines for preventing health-care-associated pneumonia, 2003: recommendations of CDC and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2004; 53:1-36.
 53. Erhart LM, Rangel MC, Lu PJ, Singleton JA. Prevalence and characteristics of children at increased risk for complications from influenza, United States, 2000. *J Pediatr* 2004; 144:191-195.
 54. Antonelli M, Conti G, Rocco M, et al. A comparison of noninvasive positive-pressure ventilation and conventional mechanical ventilation in patients with acute respiratory failure. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339:429-435.
 55. Brochard L. Mechanical ventilation: invasive versus noninvasive. *Eur Respir J Suppl* 2003; 47:31s-37s.
 56. Girou E, Brun-Buisson C, Taille S, Lemaire F, Brochard L. Secular trends in nosocomial infections and mortality associated with noninvasive ventilation in patients with exacerbation of COPD and pulmonary edema. *JAMA* 2003; 290:2985-2991.
 57. Kollef MH. Prevention of hospital-associated pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Crit Care Med* 2004; 32:1396-1405.
 58. Brochard L, Mancebo J, Wysocki M, et al. Noninvasive ventilation for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 1995; 333:817-822.
 59. Nava S, Ambrosino N, Clini E, et al. Noninvasive mechanical ventilation in the weaning of patients with respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1998; 128:721-728.
 60. Girou E, Schortgen F, Delclaux C, et al. Association of noninvasive ventilation with nosocomial infections and survival in critically ill patients. *JAMA* 2000; 284:2361-2367.
 61. Nouridine K, Combes P, Carton MJ, Beuret P, Cannamela A, Ducreux JC. Does noninvasive ventilation reduce the ICU nosocomial infection risk? A prospective clinical survey. *Intensive Care Med* 1999; 25:567-573.
 62. Burns KE, Adhikari NK, Meade MO. Noninvasive positive pressure ventilation as a weaning strategy for intubated adults with respiratory failure. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003; (4):CD004127.
 63. Kollef MH. Avoidance of tracheal intubation as a strategy to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Intensive Care Medicine* 1999; 25:553-555.
 64. Brook AD, Ahrens TS, Schaiff R, et al. Effect of a nursing-implemented sedation protocol on the duration of mechanical ventilation. *Crit Care Med* 1999; 27:2609-2615.
 65. Dellinger R, Vincent J. The Surviving Sepsis Campaign sepsis change bundles and disease. *Crit Care* 2005; 9:653-654.
 66. Kress JP, Pohlman AS, O'Connor MF, Hall JB. Daily interruption of sedative infusions in critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation. *N Engl J Med* 2000; 342:1471-1477.
 67. Needleman J, Buerhaus P, Mattke S, Stewart M, Zelevinsky K. Nurse-staffing levels and the quality of care in hospitals. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 346:1715-1722.
 68. Marelich GP, Murin S, Battistella F, Inciardi J, Vierra T, Roby M. Protocol weaning of mechanical ventilation in medical and surgical patients by respiratory care practitioners and nurses: effect on weaning time and incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Chest* 2000; 118:459-467.
 69. Thorens JB, Kaelin RM, Jolliet P, Chevolet JC. Influence of the quality of nursing on the duration of weaning from mechanical ventilation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Crit Care Med* 1995; 23:1807-1815.
 70. Babcock HM, Zack JE, Garrison T, et al. An educational intervention to reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia in an integrated health system: a comparison of effects. *Chest* 2004; 125:2224-2231.
 71. Ely EW, Meade MO, Haponik EF, et al. Mechanical ventilator weaning protocols driven by nonphysician health-care professionals: evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2001; 120:454S-463S.
 72. Torres A, Serra-Batllés J, Ros E, et al. Pulmonary aspiration of gastric contents in patients receiving mechanical ventilation: the effect of body position. *Ann Intern Med* 1992; 116:540-543.
 73. Drakulovic MB, Torres A, Bauer TT, Nicolas JM, Nogue S, Ferrer M. Supine body position as a risk factor for nosocomial pneumonia in mechanically ventilated patients: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 1999; 354:1851-1858.
 74. Helman DL Jr, Shermer JH 3rd, Fitzpatrick TM, Callender ME, Shorr AF. Effect of standardized orders and provider education on head-of-bed positioning in mechanically ventilated patients. *Crit Care Med* 2003; 31:2285-2290.
 75. Orozco-Levi M, Torres A, Ferrer M, et al. Semirecumbent position protects from pulmonary aspiration but not completely from gastroesophageal reflux in mechanically ventilated patients. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; 152:1387-1390.
 76. Collard HR, Saint S, Matthay MA. Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia: an evidence-based systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2003; 138:494-501.
 77. van Nieuwenhoven CA, Vandenbroucke-Grauls C, van Tiel FH, et al. Feasibility and effects of the semirecumbent position to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia: a randomized study. *Crit Care Med* 2006; 34:396-402.
 78. Girou E, Buu-Hoi A, Stephan F, et al. Airway colonisation in long-term mechanically ventilated patients: effect of semi-recumbent position and continuous subglottic suctioning. *Intensive Care Med* 2004; 30:225-233.
 79. Heyland DK, Drover JW, MacDonald S, Novak F, Lam M. Effect of postpyloric feeding on gastroesophageal regurgitation and pulmonary microaspiration: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care Med* 2001; 29:1495-1501.
 80. Niederman MS, Craven DE. Devising strategies for preventing nosocomial pneumonia—should we ignore the stomach? *Clin Infect Dis* 1997; 24:320-323.
 81. Rello J, Torres A. Microbial causes of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Semin Respir Infect* 1996; 11:24-31.
 82. Valles J, Artigas A, Rello J, et al. Continuous aspiration of subglottic secretions in preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Ann Intern Med* 1995; 122:179-186.
 83. Mahul P, Auboyer C, Jospe R, et al. Prevention of nosocomial pneumonia in intubated patients: respective role of mechanical subglottic

- secretions drainage and stress ulcer prophylaxis. *Intensive Care Med* 1992; 18:20-25.
84. Kollef MH, Skubas NJ, Sundt TM. A randomized clinical trial of continuous aspiration of subglottic secretions in cardiac surgery patients. *Chest* 1999; 116:1339-1346.
 85. Dezfulian C, Shojania K, Collard HR, Kim HM, Matthay MA, Saint S. Subglottic secretion drainage for preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *Am J Med* 2005; 118:11-18.
 86. Cook DJ, Kollef MH. Risk factors for ICU-acquired pneumonia. *JAMA* 1998; 279:1605-1606.
 87. Rello J, Sonora R, Jubert P, Artigas A, Rue M, Valles J. Pneumonia in intubated patients: role of respiratory airway care. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996; 154:111-115.
 88. Salord E, Gaussoy P, Marti-Flich J, et al. Nosocomial maxillary sinusitis during mechanical ventilation: a prospective comparison of orotracheal versus the nasotracheal route for intubation. *Intensive Care Med* 1990; 16:390-393.
 89. Rouby JJ, Laurent P, Gosnach M, et al. Risk factors and clinical relevance of nosocomial maxillary sinusitis in the critically ill. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994; 150:776-783.
 90. Holzapfel L, Chastang C, Demingon G, Bohe J, Piralla B, Coupury A. A randomized study assessing the systematic search for maxillary sinusitis in nasotracheally mechanically ventilated patients: influence of nosocomial maxillary sinusitis on the occurrence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; 159:695-701.
 91. Holzapfel L, Chevret S, Madinier G, et al. Influence of long-term oro- or nasotracheal intubation on nosocomial maxillary sinusitis and pneumonia: results of a prospective, randomized, clinical trial. *Crit Care Med* 1993; 21:1132-1138.
 92. Saint S, Matthay MA. Risk reduction in the intensive care unit. *Am J Med* 1998; 105:515-523.
 93. Cook DJ. Stress ulcer prophylaxis: gastrointestinal bleeding and nosocomial pneumonia: best evidence synthesis. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl* 1995; 210:48-52.
 94. Cook DJ, Reeve BK, Guyatt GH, et al. Stress ulcer prophylaxis in critically ill patients: resolving discordant meta-analyses. *JAMA* 1996; 275:308-314.
 95. Tryba M, Cook DJ. Gastric alkalization, pneumonia, and systemic infections: the controversy. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl* 1995; 210:53-59.
 96. Tryba M. Sucralfate versus antacids or H2-antagonists for stress ulcer prophylaxis: a meta-analysis on efficacy and pneumonia rate. *Crit Care Med* 1991; 19:942-949.
 97. Messori A, Trippoli S, Vaiani M, Gorini M, Corrado A. Bleeding and pneumonia in intensive care patients given ranitidine and sucralfate for prevention of stress ulcer: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2000; 321:1103-1106.
 98. Cook D, Guyatt G, Marshall J, et al. A comparison of sucralfate and ranitidine for the prevention of upper gastrointestinal bleeding in patients requiring mechanical ventilation. Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 338:791-797.
 99. Lopriore E, Markhorst DG, Gemke RJ. Ventilator-associated pneumonia and upper airway colonisation with Gram negative bacilli: the role of stress ulcer prophylaxis in children. *Intensive Care Med* 2002; 28:763-767.
 100. Yoneyama T, Yoshida M, Ohru T, et al. Oral care reduces pneumonia in older patients in nursing homes. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2002; 50:430-433.
 101. DeRiso AJ 2nd, Ladowski JS, Dillon TA, Justice JW, Peterson AC. Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.12% oral rinse reduces the incidence of total nosocomial respiratory infection and nonprophylactic systemic antibiotic use in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Chest* 1996; 109:1556-1561.
 102. Rumbak MJ, Cancio MR. Significant reduction in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* ventilator-associated pneumonia associated with the institution of a prevention protocol. *Crit Care Med* 1995; 23:1200-1203.
 103. Mori H, Hirasawa H, Oda S, Shiga H, Matsuda K, Nakamura M. Oral care reduces incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia in ICU populations. *Intensive Care Med* 2006; 32:230-236.
 104. Bergmans DC, Bonten MJ, Gaillard CA, et al. Prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia by oral decontamination: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001; 164:382-388.
 105. Houston S, Hougland P, Anderson JJ, LaRocco M, Kennedy V, Gentry LO. Effectiveness of 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate oral rinse in reducing prevalence of nosocomial pneumonia in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Am J Crit Care* 2002; 11:567-570.
 106. Segers P, Speekenbrink RG, Ubbink DT, van Ogtrop ML, de Mol BA. Prevention of nosocomial infection in cardiac surgery by decontamination of the nasopharynx and oropharynx with chlorhexidine gluconate: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2006; 296:2460-2466.
 107. Silvestri L, van Saene JJ, van Saene HK, Weir I. Topical chlorhexidine and ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Crit Care Med* 2007; 35:2468.
 108. Chan EY, Ruest A, Meade MO, Cook DJ. Oral decontamination for prevention of pneumonia in mechanically ventilated adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2007; 334:889.
 109. Craven DE, Goularte TA, Make BJ. Contaminated condensate in mechanical ventilator circuits: a risk factor for nosocomial pneumonia? *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1984; 129:625-628.
 110. Stamm AM. Ventilator-associated pneumonia and frequency of circuit changes. *Am J Infect Control* 1998; 26:71-73.
 111. Kollef MH, Shapiro SD, Fraser VJ, et al. Mechanical ventilation with or without 7-day circuit changes: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1995; 123:168-174.
 112. Hess DR, Kallstrom TJ, Mottram CD, Myers TR, Sorenson HM, Vines DL. Care of the ventilator circuit and its relation to ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Respir Care* 2003; 48:869-879.
 113. Dreyfuss D, Djedaini K, Weber P, et al. Prospective study of nosocomial pneumonia and of patient and circuit colonization during mechanical ventilation with circuit changes every 48 hours versus no change. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991; 143:738-743.
 114. Markowicz P, Ricard JD, Dreyfuss D, et al. Safety, efficacy, and cost-effectiveness of mechanical ventilation with humidifying filters changed every 48 hours: a prospective, randomized study. *Crit Care Med* 2000; 28:665-671.
 115. Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination. The periodic health examination. *Can Med Assoc J* 1979; 121:1193-1254.
 116. Ntoumenopoulos G, Presneill JJ, McElholum M, Cade JF. Chest physiotherapy for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Intensive Care Med* 2002; 28:850-856.
 117. Goldhill DR, Imhoff M, McLean B, Waldmann C. Rotational bed therapy to prevent and treat respiratory complications: a review and meta-analysis. *Am J Crit Care* 2007; 16:50-61; quiz 62.
 118. Hoth JJ, Franklin GA, Stassen NA, Girard SM, Rodriguez RJ, Rodriguez JL. Prophylactic antibiotics adversely affect nosocomial pneumonia in trauma patients. *J Trauma* 2003; 55:249-254.
 119. Kahn JM, Doctor JN, Rubenfeld GD. Stress ulcer prophylaxis in mechanically ventilated patients: integrating evidence and judgment using a decision analysis. *Intensive Care Med* 2006; 32:1151-1158.
 120. Kantorova I, Svoboda P, Scheer P, et al. Stress ulcer prophylaxis in critically ill patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2004; 51:757-761.
 121. Yildizdas D, Yapicioglu H, Yilmaz HL. Occurrence of ventilator-associated pneumonia in mechanically ventilated pediatric intensive care patients during stress ulcer prophylaxis with sucralfate, ranitidine, and omeprazole. *J Crit Care* 2002; 17:240-245.
 122. Levy MJ, Seelig CB, Robinson NJ, Ranney JE. Comparison of omeprazole and ranitidine for stress ulcer prophylaxis. *Dig Dis Sci* 1997; 42:1255-1259.
 123. Liberati A, D'Amico R, Pifferi, Torri V, Brazzi L. Antibiotic prophylaxis to reduce respiratory tract infections and mortality in adults receiving intensive care. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004; (1):CD000022.
 124. van Nieuwenhoven CA, Buskens E, van Tiel FH, Bonten MJ. Relation-

- ship between methodological trial quality and the effects of selective digestive decontamination on pneumonia and mortality in critically ill patients. *JAMA* 2001; 286:335-340.
125. Bonten MJ. Selective digestive tract decontamination—will it prevent infection with multidrug-resistant gram-negative pathogens but still be applicable in institutions where methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and vancomycin-resistant enterococci are endemic? *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 43(Suppl 2):S70–S74.
 126. de Jonge E, Schultz MJ, Spanjaard L, et al. Effects of selective decontamination of digestive tract on mortality and acquisition of resistant bacteria in intensive care: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2003; 362:1011-1016.
 127. Krueger WA, Lenhart FP, Neeser G, et al. Influence of combined intravenous and topical antibiotic prophylaxis on the incidence of infections, organ dysfunctions, and mortality in critically ill surgical patients: a prospective, stratified, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002; 166:1029-1037.
 128. Silvestri L, van Saene HK, Milanese M, Gregori D, Gullo A. Selective decontamination of the digestive tract reduces bacterial bloodstream infection and mortality in critically ill patients: systematic review of randomized, controlled trials. *J Hosp Infect* 2007; 65:187-203.
 129. Pacheco-Fowler V, Gaonkar T, Wyer PC, Modak S. Antiseptic impregnated endotracheal tubes for the prevention of bacterial colonization. *J Hosp Infect* 2004; 57:170-174.
 130. Berra L, De Marchi L, Yu ZX, Laquerriere P, Baccarelli A, Kolobow T. Endotracheal tubes coated with antiseptics decrease bacterial colonization of the ventilator circuits, lungs, and endotracheal tube. *Anesthesiology* 2004; 100:1446-1456.
 131. Collier B, Diaz J Jr, Forbes R, et al. The impact of a normoglycemic management protocol on clinical outcomes in the trauma intensive care unit. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 2005; 29:353-358; discussion 359.
 132. van den Berghe G, Wouters P, Weekers F, et al. Intensive insulin therapy in the critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med* 2001; 345:1359-1367.
 133. Toschlog EA, Newton C, Allen N, et al. Morbidity reduction in critically ill trauma patients through use of a computerized insulin infusion protocol: a preliminary study. *J Trauma* 2007; 62:1370-1375; discussion 1375-1376.
 134. Brunkhorst FM, Engel C, Bloos F, et al. Intensive insulin therapy and pentastarch resuscitation in severe sepsis. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 358:125-139.
 135. Wong ES, Rupp ME, Mermel L, et al. Public disclosure of healthcare-associated infections: the role of the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2005; 26:210-212.
 136. McKibben L, Horan TC, Tokars JI, et al. Guidance on public reporting of healthcare-associated infections: recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2005; 26:580-587.
 137. The Healthcare-Associated Infection Working Group of the Joint Public Policy Committee. Essentials of public reporting of healthcare-associated infections: a tool kit. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dhqp/pdf/ar/06_107498_Essentials_Tool_Kit.pdf. Accessed April 6, 2007.
 138. The National Quality Forum. National voluntary consensus standards, endorsed November 15, 2007. Available at: <http://www.qualityforum.org/pdf/news/lcCSACMeasures.pdf>. Accessed December 20, 2007.